North Asian International Research Journal of Sciences, Engineering & I.T.

IRJIF. I.F. : 3.821

ISSN: 2454-7514 Vol. 11, Issue-6, June-2025

Index Copernicus Value: 52.88 Indian Citation Index Thomson Reuters ID: S-8304-2016

NAIRJC UGC-Aligned | HEI-Approved Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal (Under New UGC Guidelines)

DOI: doiglobal.org/10.2025/NAIRJCSEIT.007

MICROPLASTICS IN AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS: EFFECTS ON FISH PHYSIOLOGY, REPRODUCTION AND FOOD-WEB DYNAMICS

***DR. NEELAKANTH S. WALI & **DR. VISHWAJIT B DAREKAR**

**Department of Zoology, M.S.I. Degree College, Kalaburagi-585102, Karnataka, India.*
***Department of P.G. Studies and Research in Zoology, Sharnbasva University, Kalaburagi- 585103, Karnataka, India*
***Corresponding Author, Email: vishwajeetdarekar@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

Plastic pollution has emerged as a major environmental concern because plastic materials persist for long periods and progressively fragment into smaller particles. Microplastics, generally defined as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm, are now detected in marine, freshwater, and estuarine environments. Their small size increases the probability of ingestion by zooplankton, molluscs, crustaceans, fishes, and other aquatic organisms. Fish are particularly important in microplastic research because they occupy different trophic levels, provide ecological functions, and represent an important food resource for humans.

This review examines the occurrence, sources, uptake, and biological effects of microplastics in aquatic ecosystems, with particular emphasis on fish physiology and reproduction. Microplastics may enter fish through direct ingestion, contaminated prey, or exposure through surrounding water. Their biological effects depend on particle size, shape, polymer type, concentration, duration of exposure, and associated chemical contaminants. Experimental studies have reported alterations in feeding, growth, oxidative balance, inflammatory responses, intestinal tissues, metabolism, behaviour, reproductive parameters, and other physiological processes under particular exposure conditions. However, effects observed in laboratory experiments cannot always be directly extrapolated to natural ecosystems because environmental exposure conditions are highly variable.

The paper also examines the potential movement of microplastics through aquatic food webs and discusses monitoring, prevention, waste management, wastewater treatment, research gaps, and future conservation priorities. Current evidence demonstrates the need for standardised analytical methods, environmentally realistic experiments, long-term ecological studies, and stronger measures to prevent plastic waste from entering aquatic habitats. From a zoological perspective, microplastic pollution represents an important interdisciplinary field connecting animal physiology, ecology, toxicology, reproductive biology, environmental science, and conservation.

Keywords: ***Microplastics, Fish, Aquatic Pollution, Ecotoxicology, Reproduction, Oxidative Stress, Food Web, Marine Ecosystem, Freshwater Ecology, Zoology***

1. INTRODUCTION

Aquatic ecosystems support an extraordinary diversity of animal life and provide essential ecological services. Rivers, lakes, wetlands, estuaries, coastal habitats, and oceans contain complex communities ranging from microscopic zooplankton to large fishes, reptiles, seabirds, and marine mammals. The biological health of these ecosystems is closely connected to water quality and the availability of uncontaminated habitats.

Plastic materials have become deeply integrated into modern society because they are inexpensive, durable, lightweight, and adaptable to numerous applications. These same characteristics, particularly durability, create environmental problems when plastic waste is improperly managed. Plastic objects entering aquatic environments may persist for extended periods and undergo physical, chemical, and biological degradation.

Microplastics have attracted considerable scientific attention because their small dimensions make them accessible to a wide variety of organisms. They occur in surface waters, sediments, shorelines, rivers, lakes, and marine environments.

The biological significance of microplastic contamination extends beyond the simple presence of plastic particles. Aquatic animals may encounter particles while feeding, ventilating their gills, filtering water, or consuming contaminated prey. Researchers are therefore investigating whether exposure affects animal health, population processes, and ecological interactions.

Fish represent an important group for such investigations. They occupy numerous trophic positions, display considerable physiological diversity, and occur in almost every type of aquatic environment. Fish also have ecological, commercial, nutritional, and cultural importance.

This paper reviews microplastic pollution from a zoological perspective, focusing on sources, environmental distribution, uptake by fishes, physiological responses, reproductive effects, food-web interactions, ecological implications, and potential management strategies.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The major objectives of the study are:

1. To explain the concept and classification of microplastics.
2. To identify major sources of microplastic pollution in aquatic environments.
3. To examine routes through which fish encounter and ingest microplastics.
4. To review potential physiological effects of microplastic exposure in fishes.
5. To examine possible effects on fish growth, behaviour, and reproduction.
6. To discuss microplastics in relation to aquatic food-web dynamics.
7. To analyse ecological implications of long-term plastic contamination.
8. To discuss methods used for detecting microplastics in aquatic organisms.
9. To examine preventive and management approaches.

10. To identify major research gaps relevant to zoology and aquatic conservation.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present paper adopts a review-based methodology. Scientific journal articles, books, institutional reports, and environmental literature concerning microplastic pollution and aquatic organisms are critically examined.

The literature is organised around the major themes of microplastic sources, environmental distribution, ingestion, physiological effects, oxidative stress, digestive effects, reproductive biology, trophic interactions, ecological consequences, monitoring, and pollution control.

Particular emphasis is placed on fishes because they represent a biologically and economically important component of aquatic ecosystems.

The review recognises that laboratory experiments differ considerably in particle size, polymer composition, concentration, exposure period, fish species, life stage, and experimental conditions. Therefore, experimental observations should be interpreted in relation to their specific exposure conditions rather than automatically generalised to all natural fish populations.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF MICROPLASTICS

Microplastics are commonly described as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm. They vary substantially in physical and chemical characteristics.

Particles may occur as fragments, fibres, films, spheres, foams, pellets, or irregular particles. Their environmental behaviour can differ according to size, density, shape, surface properties, and polymer composition.

Microplastics can broadly be divided into primary and secondary categories.

4.1 Primary Microplastics

Primary microplastics are manufactured at microscopic or small dimensions for particular purposes or are released as small particles during product use.

Examples may include industrial plastic pellets and certain microscopic particles associated with industrial or commercial processes.

4.2 Secondary Microplastics

Secondary microplastics originate from the fragmentation of larger plastic objects.

Plastic bottles, packaging materials, bags, fishing equipment, ropes, containers, and other discarded materials can progressively break into smaller fragments following exposure to ultraviolet radiation, temperature changes, wave action, abrasion, and other environmental forces.

Synthetic textiles can also release fibres during washing, while tyre wear and other urban processes can contribute particles to drainage systems.

5. SOURCES OF MICROPLASTICS IN AQUATIC ENVIRONMENTS

Microplastics reach aquatic habitats through numerous pathways.

5.1 Domestic Waste

Improperly discarded plastic products can enter drains, streams, rivers, lakes, and coastal waters. Larger materials may subsequently fragment into smaller particles.

5.2 Wastewater

Wastewater can contain synthetic fibres and other plastic particles originating from households and industries. Wastewater-treatment systems can remove substantial quantities, but some particles may remain in treated effluent or accumulate in sewage sludge.

5.3 Fishing and Aquaculture

Fishing nets, ropes, lines, cages, floats, and other plastic equipment may become damaged, lost, or abandoned.

These materials can produce microplastic fragments and fibres over time.

5.4 Industrial Sources

Plastic manufacturing, processing, transportation, and recycling activities can release pellets, powders, or fragments if materials are not appropriately contained.

5.5 Urban Runoff

Rainfall can transport plastic particles from roads, waste sites, and urban surfaces into drainage networks and natural water bodies.

5.6 Atmospheric Transport

Small fibres and particles can become airborne and later settle onto land or water. This means that microplastic contamination is not exclusively associated with direct disposal into rivers or oceans.

6. MICROPLASTICS IN FRESHWATER AND MARINE ECOSYSTEMS

Early public attention to plastic pollution focused strongly on marine environments. However, freshwater ecosystems are now recognised as important components of the global plastic cycle.

Rivers can receive plastic waste from cities, industries, agriculture, and households. They may act both as habitats in which plastics accumulate and as pathways transporting material towards coastal environments.

Lakes may retain plastic particles for extended periods, particularly where water exchange is limited.

Marine systems receive plastics from land-based sources, river transport, coastal activities, fisheries, shipping, and offshore operations.

Once introduced, particles can remain suspended in the water column, float at the surface, settle into sediments, or interact with biological material.

Therefore, understanding microplastic pollution requires studying the entire aquatic continuum rather than treating freshwater and marine environments as completely independent systems.

7. ROUTES OF MICROPLASTIC EXPOSURE IN FISH

Fish can encounter microplastics through several pathways.

The most obvious route is direct ingestion. A fish may mistake a particle for food, ingest it accidentally while feeding, or consume sediment containing plastic material.

A second pathway is trophic exposure. Predatory fishes may consume organisms that have previously ingested microplastics.

Fish also continuously interact with surrounding water through their gills. Very small particles may therefore come into contact with respiratory surfaces.

Exposure varies according to feeding strategy. Filter feeders, planktivores, benthic feeders, omnivores, and predators encounter different environmental compartments and consequently may experience different forms of microplastic exposure.

Life stage is another important factor. Larval and juvenile fishes may differ from adults in feeding behaviour, digestive anatomy, metabolic activity, and vulnerability.

8. EFFECTS ON THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM

The gastrointestinal tract is one of the primary sites of interaction between ingested microplastics and fish tissues.

Particles may remain temporarily in the digestive tract before being eliminated. Their residence time depends on characteristics of the particles and the animal.

Under experimental conditions, researchers have investigated changes in intestinal morphology, mucus production, inflammatory processes, digestive enzymes, gut microbial communities, and nutrient absorption.

The biological significance of these responses depends greatly on exposure concentration and duration.

Physical characteristics are also important. Fibres and irregular fragments may interact differently with digestive tissues than smooth spherical particles.

Further research using environmentally relevant concentrations is required to determine the extent to which laboratory findings reflect effects occurring in natural populations.

9. OXIDATIVE STRESS AND CELLULAR RESPONSES

Oxidative stress is frequently investigated in aquatic toxicology.

Reactive oxygen species are naturally produced during metabolism. Healthy organisms possess antioxidant systems that regulate these molecules. When production exceeds antioxidant capacity, oxidative damage may occur.

Experimental microplastic exposure has been associated in some studies with changes in antioxidant enzymes and biomarkers of oxidative damage.

These responses may vary among tissues such as the liver, gills, intestine, brain, and gonads.

Oxidative stress should not automatically be interpreted as irreversible organism-level damage. Biomarker responses can represent physiological adjustment. Therefore, interpretation requires consideration of exposure level, tissue condition, growth, survival, reproduction, and other endpoints.

10. EFFECTS ON FISH GROWTH AND NUTRITION

Growth integrates multiple physiological processes and is therefore an important indicator in ecological toxicology.

Microplastics may potentially influence growth if they alter feeding activity, digestion, nutrient absorption, metabolism, or energy allocation.

Particles occupying space in the digestive system could theoretically contribute to reduced food intake under certain exposure scenarios. Inflammatory or metabolic responses could also change the energy available for somatic growth.

However, findings across studies are not uniform. Differences in fish species, polymer, particle size, concentration, exposure duration, diet, and laboratory design can produce different outcomes.

Consequently, long-term experiments using realistic environmental exposures are particularly important.

11. EFFECTS ON FISH BEHAVIOUR

Behaviour provides a sensitive connection between physiology and ecological performance.

Fish rely on behavioural responses to locate food, avoid predators, choose habitats, migrate, reproduce, and interact socially.

Researchers have examined swimming activity, feeding behaviour, exploration, predator avoidance, and other behavioural endpoints following microplastic exposure.

Changes in behaviour could have ecological consequences even when they do not immediately cause mortality. For example, reduced predator avoidance could increase predation risk, while altered feeding behaviour could influence growth.

Behavioural toxicology is therefore an important area for future zoological research.

12. EFFECTS ON REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY

Successful reproduction is essential for population persistence. For this reason, possible effects of microplastics on reproductive biology are of considerable concern.

Experimental studies have investigated gonadal development, reproductive hormones, gamete quality, egg production, fertilisation, embryo development, and larval survival.

Potential mechanisms include oxidative stress, altered energy allocation, inflammatory processes, and interactions with chemical contaminants.

However, reproductive responses can vary significantly among species and experimental conditions.

Fish reproductive systems themselves are highly diverse. Species differ in spawning strategy, reproductive season, fecundity, parental care, age at maturity, and endocrine regulation.

Future research should therefore combine physiological measurements with actual reproductive outcomes and multigenerational observations.

13. EARLY LIFE STAGES AND DEVELOPMENT

Embryos, larvae, and juveniles can be particularly sensitive to environmental stressors because they undergo rapid growth and organ development.

Early life stages may experience microplastic exposure through surrounding water, food, or parental transfer pathways investigated in experimental studies.

Potential endpoints include hatching, developmental abnormalities, larval growth, swimming performance, feeding success, and survival.

Effects during early development could influence recruitment into adult populations.

Long-term studies following exposed animals from embryonic or larval stages into adulthood would provide valuable information about delayed or persistent effects.

14. MICROPLASTICS AND CHEMICAL CONTAMINANTS

Plastic particles can contain additives introduced during manufacturing. They can also interact with chemicals present in surrounding environments.

This has raised questions about whether microplastics can modify the exposure of aquatic animals to other contaminants.

The significance of this pathway depends on polymer chemistry, environmental conditions, contaminant concentration, exposure route, and the relative contribution of other sources such as water and food.

Therefore, plastic particles should be studied as one component of a broader mixture of environmental stressors rather than in isolation.

Combined-exposure experiments involving plastics, temperature change, hypoxia, pathogens, or chemical pollutants may better represent real aquatic ecosystems.

15. MICROPLASTICS AND AQUATIC FOOD WEBS

Aquatic food webs consist of interconnected trophic levels.

Small organisms such as zooplankton may ingest microscopic particles. These organisms can subsequently be consumed by fishes and other predators.

This creates the possibility of trophic transfer.

However, trophic transfer does not automatically mean that plastic particles biomagnify in the same manner as certain persistent chemical contaminants. Organisms can eliminate many ingested particles, and retention varies among particle types and species.

Research should therefore distinguish clearly among ingestion, retention, trophic transfer, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification.

Such distinctions are essential for scientifically accurate ecological interpretation.

16. ECOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES

The ecological consequences of microplastic pollution cannot be understood solely by studying individual animals.

If exposure affects feeding, growth, reproduction, predator avoidance, or survival, population-level effects could potentially develop under sufficiently severe and persistent conditions.

Changes in one species could then influence predators, prey, competitors, and ecosystem functions.

Natural environments contain numerous simultaneous stressors, including temperature change, oxygen limitation, habitat degradation, chemical pollution, pathogens, and fishing pressure.

Microplastic pollution should therefore increasingly be investigated within multiple-stressor ecological frameworks.

17. DETECTION AND ANALYSIS OF MICROPLASTICS

Reliable detection is fundamental to microplastic research.

Environmental samples may be collected from water, sediment, beaches, animal digestive tracts, or other biological tissues.

Samples frequently require preparation to separate suspected plastic particles from biological or mineral material.

Visual identification alone can be unreliable because natural fibres and other particles may resemble plastics.

Spectroscopic techniques such as Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy can assist in polymer identification.

Quality-control procedures are especially important because airborne fibres and laboratory materials can contaminate samples.

Standardisation of sampling, extraction, identification, and reporting methods would improve comparison among studies.

18. PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT

Preventing plastic waste from entering the environment is generally more effective than attempting to remove widely dispersed microscopic particles.

Important measures include reducing unnecessary plastic consumption, improving waste collection, preventing littering, strengthening recycling systems, improving industrial pellet containment, and developing effective wastewater management.

Fishing and aquaculture sectors can reduce losses of plastic equipment and improve recovery of abandoned gear.

Stormwater and urban drainage systems can also be managed to reduce transport of plastic litter into rivers.

Public education is essential because household disposal behaviour contributes to environmental leakage.

Producers, consumers, municipalities, industries, governments, and researchers all have roles in reducing plastic pollution.

19. ROLE OF ZOOLOGISTS IN MICROPLASTIC RESEARCH

Zoologists can make important contributions because microplastic pollution affects animals at multiple biological levels.

Comparative anatomy and physiology help determine how different species respond to particles.

Fish biologists can investigate growth, reproduction, behaviour, and population effects.

Ecologists can examine food-web transfer and community-level consequences.

Histological methods can reveal tissue-level responses, while molecular techniques can investigate gene expression and biochemical pathways.

Field zoologists can compare contamination across habitats and species.

Taxonomic knowledge is also essential because sensitivity cannot automatically be generalised across animal groups.

20. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Several major knowledge gaps remain.

First, laboratory experiments should increasingly use environmentally realistic particle concentrations and mixtures.

Second, more long-term and multigenerational research is needed.

Third, freshwater environments require continued attention alongside marine systems.

Fourth, standardised analytical methods are needed so that results from different laboratories can be compared reliably.

Fifth, smaller particles, including nanoplastics, require additional investigation because their behaviour may differ from larger microplastics.

Sixth, studies should examine multiple environmental stressors rather than plastic exposure alone.

Seventh, population-level and ecosystem-level consequences remain less understood than individual physiological responses.

Finally, research should connect pollution measurements with practical management interventions so that scientific findings contribute directly to environmental protection.

21. DISCUSSION

Microplastic pollution represents a complex environmental issue because particles vary in size, shape, polymer type, chemical composition, environmental history, and concentration.

Fish responses are equally variable because fishes differ in anatomy, physiology, feeding behaviour, habitat, life history, and reproductive strategy.

This variability explains why simple conclusions such as “microplastics always cause a particular effect” are scientifically inappropriate.

The strongest research designs are those that clearly characterise the particles used, employ appropriate controls, investigate realistic exposure levels, and measure biologically meaningful endpoints.

Field and laboratory research should complement each other. Laboratory experiments are valuable for identifying mechanisms, while field studies establish whether those mechanisms are relevant under natural environmental conditions.

Microplastic research also demonstrates the importance of interdisciplinary zoology. Understanding effects on fishes requires knowledge of physiology, ecology, histology, toxicology, reproductive biology, behaviour, molecular biology, and environmental chemistry.

Future research should increasingly examine how plastic pollution interacts with warming, deoxygenation, habitat degradation, pathogens, and conventional chemical contaminants.

22. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Plastic waste should be prevented from entering rivers, lakes, wetlands, and marine ecosystems.
2. Wastewater-treatment technologies should continue to be improved for particle removal.
3. Industries handling plastic pellets should implement strict containment procedures.
4. Lost and abandoned fishing gear should be systematically recovered where feasible.
5. Standardised methods should be adopted for sampling and identifying microplastics.
6. Laboratory experiments should use environmentally relevant exposure conditions.
7. Long-term and multigenerational fish studies should be expanded.
8. Freshwater fish species should receive greater research attention.
9. Monitoring programmes should include water, sediment, and representative animal species.
10. Research should distinguish between particle ingestion, retention, accumulation, and trophic transfer.
11. Microplastic studies should examine interactions with climate and chemical stressors.
12. Public awareness regarding plastic disposal should be strengthened.
13. Universities should encourage interdisciplinary research involving zoology and environmental science.
14. Pollution-control policy should prioritise prevention at the source.
15. Long-term ecological monitoring should be linked to practical conservation programmes.

23. CONCLUSION

Microplastic pollution has become an important field of aquatic zoological research. Plastic particles occur in freshwater, estuarine, and marine environments and can be encountered by organisms occupying different trophic levels.

Fish may ingest microplastics directly or through contaminated prey. Experimental evidence indicates that exposure under particular conditions can influence physiological, biochemical, behavioural, developmental, and reproductive parameters. Nevertheless, the magnitude and ecological relevance of these effects depend strongly on particle properties, concentration, duration, species, life stage, and environmental context.

A major challenge for future research is to bridge the gap between controlled laboratory experiments and complex natural ecosystems. Standardised methods, realistic exposure designs, long-term monitoring, and multiple-stressor experiments will improve scientific understanding.

Microplastic contamination should also be addressed at its source. Improved waste management, responsible plastic production and consumption, wastewater treatment, recovery of fishing gear, and public awareness can reduce environmental inputs.

For zoologists, the issue provides an opportunity to connect animal physiology with ecology, toxicology, reproduction, behaviour, and conservation. Protecting aquatic animals from plastic pollution will require not only continued research but also coordinated environmental management and prevention.

REFERENCES

1. Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(12), 2588–2597.
2. Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. Environmental Pollution, 178, 483–492.
3. Thompson, R. C., et al. (2004). Lost at sea: Where is all the plastic? Science, 304(5672), 838.
4. Galloway, T. S., Cole, M., & Lewis, C. (2017). Interactions of microplastic debris throughout the marine ecosystem. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1, 0116.
5. Li, J., Liu, H., & Chen, J. P. (2018). Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on occurrence, environmental effects, and methods used for detection. Water Research, 137, 362–374.
6. Rochman, C. M. (2018). Microplastics research—from sink to source. Science, 360(6384), 28–29.
7. Horton, A. A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D. J., Lahive, E., & Svendsen, C. (2017). Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 127–141.
8. Andrady, A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(8), 1596–1605.
9. Chae, Y., & An, Y. J. (2017). Effects of micro- and nanoplastics on aquatic ecosystems: Current research trends and perspectives. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 124(2), 624–632.
10. de Sá, L. C., Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, F., Rocha, T. L., & Futter, M. N. (2018). Studies of the effects of microplastics on aquatic organisms. Science of the Total Environment, 645, 1029–1039.
11. Lusher, A. L., Hollman, P. C. H., & Mendoza-Hill, J. J. (2017). Microplastics in Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 615.
12. United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). From Pollution to Solution: A Global Assessment of Marine Litter and Plastic Pollution. UNEP.
13. Jambeck, J. R., et al. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science, 347(6223), 768–771.
14. Law, K. L. (2017). Plastics in the marine environment. Annual Review of Marine Science, 9, 205–229.
15. Rist, S., Almroth, B. C., Hartmann, N. B., & Karlsson, T. M. (2018). A critical perspective on early communications concerning human health aspects of microplastics. Science of the Total Environment, 626, 720–726.
16. Provencher, J. F., et al. (2020). Recommended best practices for plastic and litter ingestion studies in marine birds. FACETS, 5, 111–130.
17. Barboza, L. G. A., et al. (2018). Marine microplastic debris: An emerging issue for food security, food safety and human health. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 133, 336–348.
18. World Health Organization. (2019). Microplastics in Drinking-water. World Health Organization.
19. SAPEA. (2019). A Scientific Perspective on Microplastics in Nature and Society. Science Advice for Policy by European Academies.
20. GESAMP. (2015). Sources, Fate and Effects of Microplastics in the Marine Environment: A Global Assessment. Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection.