North Asian International Research Journal of Social Science & Humanities

ISSN: 2454-9827 Vol. 10, Issue-1 January-2024

IRJIF I.F. : 3.015

Index Copernicus Value: 57.07 Indian Citation Index Thomson Reuters ID: S-8304-2016

NAIRJC UGC-Aligned | HEI-Approved Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal (Under New UGC Guidelines)

TEMPLES, TRADE AND WATER: SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC LIFE IN MEDIEVAL KARNATAKA, c. 1100-1565 CE

Dr. Mallikarjun S. A.

Associate Professor in History | Government First Grade College (Autonomous), Gubbi - 572216 | Tumakuru District, Karnataka

ABSTRACT

Medieval Karnataka is often described through the achievements of its ruling dynasties and the architectural excellence of its monuments. These approaches, although valuable, can overshadow the institutions and labour that sustained regional society. This paper examines the relationship between temples, commercial activity and water management in Karnataka between approximately 1100 and 1565 CE. Using a qualitative interpretation of published historical scholarship and official heritage documentation, it considers how religious patronage, agricultural production, craft specialisation and exchange contributed to the organisation of settlements. The Hoysala centres of Belur, Halebidu and Somanathapura, alongside the Vijayanagara capital at Hampi, provide the principal comparative settings. The paper argues that temples operated within wider networks of production and redistribution, while water infrastructure connected political authority to the practical requirements of cultivation and urban life. These arrangements generated opportunities for merchants and artisans but also reproduced unequal access to resources and social status. Medieval Karnataka therefore requires interpretation as a landscape shaped jointly by rulers, religious establishments, cultivators, craftspeople and commercial communities.

Keywords: Medieval Karnataka; Hoysala; Vijayanagara; temple economy; irrigation; merchants; social history.

1. Introduction

The history of medieval Karnataka cannot be understood through political succession alone. The construction of a temple, the expansion of a market or the maintenance of a reservoir depended on relationships extending well beyond a royal court. Cultivators produced agricultural surpluses, artisans supplied specialised labour, merchants moved commodities, and religious institutions provided settings in which wealth and authority acquired public meaning.

Between the twelfth and sixteenth centuries, different parts of Karnataka experienced significant changes under the Hoysalas and, subsequently, the Vijayanagara Empire. Political boundaries shifted, but the relationship between agriculture, religious patronage and exchange remained central to regional development. Monumental construction expressed these relationships in visible form, while less conspicuous structures - channels, tanks, roads and settlement boundaries - supported everyday economic activity.

This paper asks three questions. How did temples connect religious patronage with material production? How did trade and craft activity shape settlement life? What role did water management play in sustaining agriculture and political authority?

Its central argument is that temples, trade and water infrastructure formed interacting systems. None can adequately explain medieval Karnataka in isolation. Their connections created durable settlements and distinctive cultural landscapes, while also distributing opportunities and obligations unevenly.

2. Objectives and Methodology

The study has four objectives: to examine the economic and social functions of temples beyond their ritual purposes; to explain the relationship between agricultural production, craft labour and commercial exchange; to analyse water management as both infrastructure and an expression of authority; and to identify the limitations of interpreting medieval development solely through royal patronage.

The paper adopts a qualitative, historical and comparative approach. It draws on established scholarship on South Indian society and Vijayanagara, together with official documentation concerning the Hoysala temple ensembles and Hampi.

This is an interpretive review, rather than a report of original excavation, fieldwork or a newly assembled inscriptional dataset. It does not calculate temple income, agricultural productivity or trade volumes. Its conclusions concern institutional relationships and historical interpretation, rather than measurable economic growth.

The geographical focus is principally southern and central Karnataka. Since medieval political territories did not coincide with present state boundaries, Karnataka is used as a regional frame rather than an assumption of an unchanged historical territory.

3. Historiographical Context

Dynastic histories have established essential chronologies of warfare, administration and patronage. Architectural studies have explained the development of temple plans, sculptural programmes and construction techniques. Social and economic history adds a further question: what relationships made these achievements possible?

Stein's study of Vijayanagara places political institutions within a broader regional setting (Stein, 1989). Verghese examines the religious traditions represented in the capital's monuments (Verghese, 1995). Sinopoli's research directs attention towards craft production and the organisation of specialised labour (Sinopoli, 2003). Read together, these approaches encourage historians to move between political authority, material remains and everyday production.

The term temple economy requires particular care. It should not imply that every temple controlled an extensive territory or performed identical functions. Institutions differed in resources, patronage, location and religious affiliation. A major royal foundation and a small village shrine occupied different positions within local society.

Similarly, the existence of impressive monuments does not establish universal prosperity. Monumental investment can demonstrate concentrated resources without revealing how benefits were distributed. A critical history must therefore examine both institutional achievement and social inequality.

4. Temples as Religious and Material Institutions

A temple was first a place of worship. However, sustaining worship required continuing material support. Offerings, lamps, festivals, repairs and the maintenance of buildings created demands for agricultural produce, manufactured goods and services.

Where endowments supported these activities, religious patronage connected resources to recurring obligations. A gift intended to maintain a lamp, for example, implied arrangements for obtaining the necessary material and ensuring that the

service continued. Religious intention therefore depended on practical organisation.

Temple construction generated another set of relationships. Stone had to be obtained and transported; skilled workers had to plan, carve and assemble structures; and supporting labour had to be coordinated. The finished monument represented the work of numerous participants, even when public memory centred on its royal patron.

The Hoysala complexes at Belur, Halebidu and Somanathapura illustrate the scale and sophistication of medieval architectural patronage. UNESCO identifies these three ensembles as representative achievements of Hoysala temple building in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.-b). Their comparison provides a basis for investigating how patronage and artistic specialisation interacted.

Nevertheless, architectural richness alone cannot establish the precise organisation of employment or payment. Such questions require inscriptional and archaeological evidence specific to each site. The defensible conclusion is that monumental patronage required sustained coordination of resources and skills, rather than that every temple operated through a single economic model.

5. Agricultural Production and Religious Patronage

Agriculture formed a material foundation for settlements and institutions. Food production sustained people who were not directly engaged in cultivation, including administrators, soldiers, priests, traders and specialised craftspeople.

The connection between agriculture and temples was therefore more than symbolic. When resources derived from land supported religious activity, cultivation became linked to institutional continuity. The availability of water, the condition of fields and the organisation of agricultural labour could affect the resources available for worship and maintenance.

These relationships should not be described as automatically beneficial to all participants. An endowment might support ritual services or building repairs while also imposing claims on agricultural produce. Cultivators' experiences depended on the obligations attached to land, local authority and access to resources.

Agricultural expansion could create additional opportunities, but its consequences varied. Bringing new land into cultivation required labour and arrangements for allocating rights. It could also alter existing uses of grazing areas, woodland or shared water.

The temple-agriculture relationship is consequently best understood as reciprocal but unequal. Religious establishments depended on material production, while agricultural communities interacted with institutions that could possess influence over resources and local prestige.

6. Water Management and the Organisation of Settlements

Water availability shaped the possibilities of cultivation and settlement. Rainfall varied across Karnataka, making local ecological conditions essential to historical explanation. Tanks, wells and channels addressed different needs and cannot be treated as interchangeable technologies.

Water infrastructure also required continuing work. Building an embankment was only one stage. Channels needed clearing, damaged structures required repair, and competing demands had to be managed. The historical significance of irrigation therefore lies as much in maintenance and allocation as in initial construction.

At Hampi, official heritage documentation describes a landscape combining sacred and royal complexes, markets, residential areas, tanks and other water structures. This association supports an interpretation of the capital as an integrated settlement

landscape rather than a collection of isolated monuments (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.-a).

From this evidence, the paper infers that urban life depended on connections between built spaces and resource systems. It does not follow that every component was centrally planned or constructed at the same time. Such landscapes could develop through successive additions and changing requirements.

Water provision also carried political meaning. Patrons could associate themselves with productive capacity and public benefit. Yet commemorating a donor does not reveal everyone involved in excavation, upkeep or distribution. Historical analysis must distinguish visible sponsorship from the broader labour that kept infrastructure functioning.

7. Merchants, Markets and Commercial Networks

Markets linked agricultural producers, craftspeople and consumers. Their operation depended on movement: commodities had to reach settlements, and buyers and sellers required settings in which exchange could occur.

Religious centres could contribute to this movement by attracting visitors and creating demand for offerings, food, textiles and services. Festivals could intensify commercial activity, although the scale and duration of such effects differed between locations.

Hampi provides a particularly useful setting for examining the relationship between ceremonial and commercial space. Its surviving urban landscape allows historians to investigate how places of worship, routes and exchange areas related to one another. However, spatial proximity should not be mistaken for proof that all commerce was controlled by religious institutions.

Commercial participants also differed in scale. Long-distance merchants, local traders, itinerant sellers and producers marketing their own goods did not occupy identical positions. Their opportunities depended on access to capital, transport, protection and customers.

Political authority could support commerce through security and patronage, but it also made claims on commercial resources. The relationship between merchants and rulers therefore involved negotiation and mutual dependence rather than uninterrupted harmony.

Trade should consequently be understood as part of a wider institutional environment. Geography created possibilities, while infrastructure, political conditions and social relationships shaped whether those possibilities could be realised.

8. Artisans and the Social Organisation of Skill

Medieval Karnataka's monuments preserve evidence of highly developed artistic and technical capabilities. Their production depended on accumulated knowledge, training and cooperation.

Stoneworkers and sculptors formed only part of this productive world. Construction and institutional maintenance also required other skills and supporting services. Attention to these activities broadens history beyond the identification of patrons and styles.

Sinopoli's work on Vijayanagara craft production is useful because it treats production as socially organised activity. Artifacts are not merely evidence of aesthetic achievement; they can also illuminate relationships among producers, institutions and consumers (Sinopoli, 2003).

Nevertheless, the visibility of skilled work does not establish the social standing of its makers. Recognition, compensation and authority were not necessarily distributed equally. An artisan's contribution to a prestigious building cannot, by itself,

demonstrate freedom from restrictive social relationships.

The distinction between artistic accomplishment and social equality is essential. Medieval Karnataka could sustain exceptional craftsmanship while retaining significant hierarchies. Both conditions belong within the same historical explanation.

9. Social Hierarchy and the Limits of Institutional Prosperity

A productive economy could distribute its benefits unevenly. Control over land, commercial resources, institutional office and social recognition shaped participation.

Caste and gender are therefore central analytical questions. Institutions that provided services or employment could also regulate access and reinforce distinctions. Describing temples as community institutions should not imply that all community members enjoyed equal rights within them.

Women's historical participation also requires careful investigation. Donations, household production, agricultural work and religious service offer possible avenues of inquiry, but each must be established through appropriate evidence. Neither elite patronage nor silence in surviving records can represent all women's experiences.

Source survival creates further imbalance. Monumental inscriptions and prestigious buildings are more visible than ordinary homes, seasonal work or informal transactions. Historians must avoid allowing this uneven archive to determine whose activities count as historically significant.

A balanced interpretation therefore recognises institutional achievement while remaining attentive to the people whose work supported it and whose perspectives are less fully preserved.

10. Comparison between Hoysala Centres and Vijayanagara

Hoysala temple centres and the Vijayanagara capital can be compared through their relationships among patronage, production and settlement. They should not be treated as identical institutions operating at different dates.

The Hoysala examples foreground concentrated architectural investment and the relationship between sacred foundations and surrounding society. Vijayanagara permits a wider examination of how religious establishments interacted with a large capital's administrative, military and commercial requirements.

The comparison suggests continuity in the importance of agrarian support and specialised labour. It also highlights differences of scale and political setting. A capital required resources for functions extending beyond temple maintenance, while individual religious centres followed their own trajectories.

Nor should this history be presented as a straightforward progression towards greater complexity. Institutions could expand, contract or change function. Political disruption might affect different settlements unequally, while local practices could continue despite changes in ruling authority.

The comparative approach is most useful when it identifies relationships without erasing these differences.

11. Discussion

Three arguments emerge from the study. First, sacred institutions belonged to material worlds. Their religious purposes depended on recurring supplies, labour and arrangements for maintaining resources.

Second, infrastructure helps explain the practical foundations of authority. Water management connected political claims to cultivation and settlement, but its effectiveness depended on continued maintenance rather than patronage alone.

Third, economic activity must be examined alongside social differentiation. The presence of markets, skilled production and monumental investment cannot establish equal access to their benefits.

These arguments favour a connected approach to regional history. Temples should be studied alongside fields, markets and water systems; royal gifts alongside labour; and visible monuments alongside the less visible activities that sustained them.

The resulting interpretation complements political history while changing its emphasis. Rulers remain important, but historical explanation also depends on institutions and participants operating beyond the court.

12. Conclusion

Medieval Karnataka was shaped by relationships among religious patronage, agricultural production, commercial exchange and water management. Temples concentrated resources and demand, markets connected producers and consumers, and water infrastructure supported cultivation and settlement.

These connections contributed to enduring architectural and cultural achievements. They also operated within unequal social arrangements. Institutional prosperity and artistic distinction should therefore be assessed alongside questions of labour, access and authority.

The principal contribution of this paper is an interpretive framework that places monuments within their supporting landscapes. Karnataka's medieval history becomes more comprehensible when temples are considered together with the people, resources and infrastructure that sustained them.

Further research could test this framework through a bounded comparison of dated inscriptions, identified irrigation works and archaeological evidence from selected settlements. Such work would clarify local differences that a regional review can identify but cannot measure.

References

Eaton, R. M. (2005). A social history of the Deccan, 1300-1761: Eight Indian lives. Cambridge University Press.

Sinopoli, C. M. (2003). The political economy of craft production: Crafting empire in South India, c. 1350-1650. Cambridge University Press.

Stein, B. (1989). Vijayanagara. Cambridge University Press.

UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.-a). Group of monuments at Hampi. https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/241/

UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.-b). Sacred ensembles of the Hoysalas. https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1670/

Verghese, A. (1995). Religious traditions at Vijayanagara: As revealed through its monuments. Manohar.