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#### Abstract

Hybrid machining processes are becoming more popular for machining of hard materials i.e. difficult to machine materials as there are many challenges in machining high strength alloys, composites, and ceramics, etc., and hence a need of developing the hybrid processes and understanding their process capabilities is a major research area in Production engineering. In this work, a hybrid machining process called Powder Mixed Abrasive Electric Discharge Grinding (PMAEDG) has been proposed for machining of Die steel, Inconel 718 and Nimonic 80A. The experiments were carried out on an in-house fabricated PMAEDG set up on Electronica ZNC EDM machine. The bronze bonded diamond grinding wheel attachment was used along with EDM to achieve Electro Discharge Grinding while a separate tank attached to mix abrasive in dielectric fluid.


Key World: PMAEDG, machining Die steel, Inconel 718 and Nimonic 80A Taguchi Method.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PMAEDG (Powder Mixed Abrasive Electric Discharge Grinding)

Powder Mixed Abrasive Electric Discharge Grinding is used to overcome the limitations of current EDM machines coping with delicate surface finish over large process area. Indeed this is the reason for manual polishing of mould cavities machined by EDM. Recently PMEDM focus of an acute research work in order to subdue these technological performance barriers. (Erden \& Bilgin, 1980) First studied the characteristics of powder mixing in the dielectric medium. Both studied the effect of various powders like copper, aluminium, graphite, silicon carbide and found that in mixing the abrasive powders in the dielectric reduces the ignition time and the strength of the dielectric hence the abrasive erodes the material and hence Material cutting rate increases (Kansal, Singh, \& kumar, 2007) described that a desirable mixing of powder is an advanced process for improving the performance characteristics of EDM process. Abrasive Powder Mixed Electric Discharge Machining has a unlike working mechanics from the formal EDM. In PMEDM process, a desirable material in the powder form is blended into the dielectric tank. Meliorate
circulation of the powder mixed dielectric, a stirring system is employed. Constant recirculation is required in PMEDM process so that the powder is blended completely in the dielectric. Hence a modified circulation system is required. Setup consists of a transparent bath like container, called machining tank. It is placed in the work tank of EDM and the machining is performed in this container. In order to hold the work-piece, a work-

Table 1 Thermo physical properties of various additives (Wong, Lim, Rahuman, \& Tee, 1998).

| Po <br> wde <br> $\mathbf{r}$ | Den <br> sity <br> $(\mathbf{g c}$ <br> $\mathbf{m}-$ <br> $\mathbf{3})$ | Therm <br> al <br> conduc <br> tivity <br> $(\mathbf{W c m}-$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{1} \mathbf{C}^{-}\right)$ | Elect <br> rical <br> resist <br> ivity <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{\Omega c}$ <br> $\mathbf{m})$ | Mel <br> ting <br> poi <br> $\mathbf{n t}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Specific <br> heat <br> $\left(\mathbf{c a l g - 1}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{1})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Al | 2.75 | 2.30 | 2.46 | 665 | 0.215 |
| SiC | 3.21 | $1.0-5.0$ | $1 \times 10$ <br> 9 | 298 <br> 7 | 0.18 |

Powder particles accumulate in between spark gap. When a voltage of $80-415 \mathrm{~V}$ pulsating DC is used among the work piece and the electrode fronting each other with a inter electrode gap of $20-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $90-110 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$ electric field is produced. Abrasive particles get accelerated and energised and behaves in a zigzag motion. These abrasive powder particles get charged and accelerated and become conductors which when come in contact with the flow channel i.e. between work piece and electrode encourages the breakdown in the gap and the spark gap between work piece and tool increases. Chain like structures are formed when the abrasive powder particles come nearer to each other under the influence of the sparking area.


Figure 1: Principle of powder mixed EDM

## 2. Objectives:

1. Automation is to be done in PMAEDG setup to make its X axis automated in ZNC EDM and self reciprocating in order to work in Surface Grinding mode.
2. To carry out individual experiments on each material for finding the optimum parameters and levels, of different materials in PMEDDG. i.e.

- Die Steel
- Inconel 718
- Nimonic 80A

3. Parametric optimization of materials Die Steel, Inconel Alloy, Nimonic 80 A in PMEDDG.

## 3. Experimental Set up and Methodology:

### 3.1 ENC 35 ZNC Electric Discharge Machine

The experiments were conducted on an ENC 35 EDM, (model - ZNC 50 X 30, die sinking type, made by Electronica Machine Tool LTD. Pune) to work on AEDG.

## Technical Specification:

## Electrical data:

Following are the electrical data of the ZNC EDM machine.

| Type | $:$ ENC 35 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Supply | $: 415 \mathrm{~V}, 3$ phases, 50 Hz |
| Mains voltage tolerance | $:+/-10 \%$ |
| Connected load | $: 3 \mathrm{KVA}$ |
| Power factor | $: @ 0.8$ |

## Working parameters:

Following are the working parameters in which maximum current for which this EDM machine will work is 35 A .

| Machining current max (amps) | $: 35 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bi pulse current | $: 3 \mathrm{amps}$ |
| Open gap output voltage | $: 200 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{dc}+/-5 \%$ |
| Current range selection <br> one amp | $:$ In step of |
| Bi pulse current selection <br> one amp | $: 0-3$ amp in step of |
| Pulse on duration <br> microseconds |  |

### 3.2 Wensar Electronic Balance.

Weighing machine is used to measure weight of work piece during machining operation which in turn gives MRR.

| Model | HPB310 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Capacity | 310 mm |
| Readability | 0.001 gm |
| Repeatability | 0.001 gm |
| Linearity | 0.002gm |
| Pan size | 90 mm dia . |
| Response time | 3-4sec |
| Calibration | Automatic extemal |
| Tarerange | Full |
| Operating temperature | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{Ct} 05^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Housing dimension | 195x2775406mm |
| Weight approx. | 6.7 kg |
| Make | Wensar |
| Powersupply | ACAdaptor $220 \mathrm{~V}, 50-60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Data output | RS232C |

### 3.3 Digital Tachometer:

Tachometer (model: KM 2241) is used to measures the rotation speed of a shaft or disk. The device displays the revolutions per minute (RPM) on a calibrated digital displays.

| Display <br> $(0.6 ")$ LCD |  | $:$ | 5 digits 18 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accuracy | $:$ | $\pm(0.05 \%$ + 1 digit $)$ |  |
| Sampling Time <br> 120 RPM $)$ |  | $:$ | $0.5 \quad \mathrm{~s} \quad$ (over |
| Range Select | $:$ | Auto -Ranging |  |
| Memory <br> Min.value | $:$ | Last value, Max.Value, |  |
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| Power Consumption | $:$ | Approx 55mA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time Base | $:$ | 6 MHz Quartz crystal |  |
| Detecting Distance | $:$ | 50 mm to 500 mm |  |
| Measuring range <br> TO 99999 RPM | $:$ | PHOTO TACH $\quad 2.5$ |  |
| Contact Tach 0.5 TO <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{min})$ <br> $0.05 ~ T O ~$ <br> $1,999.9(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min})$. |  |  |  |

### 3.4 Requirement of System:

- 3- phase stabilized power supply
- Proper earthing.
- Regular keeping of machine tool and control parameters
- Filtering of EDM oil
- All the experiments were performed on ENC 35 EDM Machine (model - ZNC 50 X 30, die sinking type, made by Electronica Machine Tool LTD. Pune) with self designed and fabricated in surface grinding mode. This setup consists mainly of


### 3.4.1 Bronze-Diamond Abrasive Grinding Wheel with following specifications.

Diamond with bronze bonded abrasive grinding wheel is used as an electrode in PMAEDG process in this in house fabricated set up with the following specifications are:

| Diamond wheel Diameter | 100 mm |
| :---: | :---: |
| Thickness | 10 mm |
| Abrasive used | Diamond |
| Bore Diameter | 32 mm |
| Bonding Material | Bronze |
| Concentration | $75 \%$ |
| Grit Size | $80 / 100$ |
| Depth of Indentation | 3 mm |

### 3.4.2 Direct current motor:

A permanent magnet direct current motor (PMDC) of 2 HP and 4000 rpm is used to drive the grinding wheel. The PMDC motor speed can be controlled through a direct current drive.

Figure 9 shows the schematic diagram of an fabricated of an fabricated PMAEDG set up.


### 3.4.3 Alternating current reversible synchronous motor:

The relative motion between the diamond abrasive wheel and the work piece is achieved by reciprocating the machine table through an automatic feed arrangement. For this purpose alternating current reversible synchronous motor is attached with machine table lead screw through special attachment. This synchronous motor is controlled with 2 way control switches.

### 3.4.4 Shaft:

The function of the shaft is to rotate the grinding wheel and is itself rotating. So its design requires some of the input parameters like material, diameter, RPM, motor power. Keeping all the input factors 19 mm shaft diameter, EN 24 as shaft material and bearing whose ISI No. 1203 is selected.

### 3.4.5 V-belt:

The V shaped belt ( $13 \mathrm{~mm} \times 9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is used to transmit power from driver to driven pulley. The V belt has trapezoidal cross section so that it remains in touch with the side of the pulley to avoid slip.


Figure 2. ZNC EDM machine with PMAEDG set up and Automated $X$ axis

### 3.5 Automation of X axis:

Motion control of ZNC EDM machine X axis is required to done because in normal surface grinding mode in PMAEDG process the X axis of the machine should reciprocate for the process .Firstly In house fabricated set up uses servo motor and manually the polarity of the motor has to be changed but due to the automation the polarity changes automatically.

### 3.5.1 Component required.

Following are the component used for setup,

- NXP8051 (any 8051 can be used)
- ULN 2803
- Relay $250 \mathrm{AC} / 10-20 \mathrm{Amp}$ using 12 volt
- Limit Switches 2 no.
- 7805 IC (to step down 12 volt to 5 volt)
- Resisters ( $1 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{Ohm}, 10 \mathrm{~K}$ )
- Capacitors $(10 \mu, 30 p, 470 \mu, 1 \mu)$
- 12 volt DC supply
- Push button
- LED's
- Crystal oscillator 10 Hz


### 3.5.2 Software Used:

Keil micro vision and flash magic for burning in micro controller.

### 3.5.3 Code used in 8051

\#include<reg51.h>
sbit $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{P} 1^{\wedge} 0$;
sbit a $1=\mathrm{P} 2^{\wedge} 0$;

```
sbit b1=P2^1;
void main()
{
P1=0x00;
P2=0x00;
while(1)
{
if(a1==1)
{a=1; }
if(b1==1)
{a=0; }
    } }
```


### 3.5.4 Working Theories:

## Working of relay and motor-

8051 microcontroller is generally used for controlling sensors and small components. Here we are using it for controlling of relay which uses 12 volt to activate and deactivate. We have motor(240 volt AC) that requires three wire to control polarities and relay can operate it well.


In above diagram wire connected to C supplies the 240 volt to motor which is transferred to two different winding of motor to change the direction of
rotation; the third wire of motor is ground. From above diagram we can see that at OFF state of relay supply goes to first winding and at ON state supply goes to second winding which controls the motion of motor.

## Working of limit switches:

From below diagram we can see that there are two limit switches which supplies 5 volt to microcontroller as a signal that body has reached to its limit. Now after getting this signal microcontroller changes the state of relay so that polarity of motor can be changed also corresponding direction of motion.


## Code used in KEIL MICROVISION:

This code uses pin $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} 0\right)$ as output signal to relay and ( $\mathrm{P} 2^{\wedge} 0 \& 7$ ) for input signal for limit switches. So when limit switch 1 sends 5 volts to $\mathbf{P 2}^{\wedge} \mathbf{0}$ then microcontroller activates the relay using pin $\mathbf{P 1 \wedge} \mathbf{n}$ and when limit switch 2 sends the 5 volts to $\mathbf{P 2 \wedge 7}$ then microcontroller deactivates the relay using the pin $\mathbf{P 1}^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge}$.
4. Experimental Methodology and Parametric Design:

### 4.1 Performance measures are:

### 4.1.1 Material removal rate (MRR):

The material removal rate is volumetric material removal rate which is found out by the formula.


000
Where $t=$ time of machining
$\rho=$ density of the material

### 4.1.2 Average surface roughness (Ra):

Arithmetic average roughness of the deviations of the roughness profile from the central line along the measurement. Surface roughness, often shortened to roughness, is a component of surface texture.

### 4.2 Taguchi Method:

Dr. Genichi Taguchi developed an optimisation technique for the engineering experimentation problems in order to robust design approach. Taguchi methods used simple approach to minimise the experiments with the help of mathematical formulation. He has developed an unparalleled method of improvement in quality which is far better than usual methods. His development towards quality improving and robust design method is independent of various um important variations of nature, machine and other factors.

In this thesis the design of experiments are carried out by MINI TAB 14

## Static problems:

When our output is the required optimal factor we termed the problem as static problem. A process depends on various parameters hence every parameters has to be optimised which involves the finding of the best levels. Here $P$ diagram explains the best level value.

Figure 3: Process Diagram for Taguchi


The process is said to ROBUST when we minimise the variations of the output even when noise is present in the output levels.

### 4.2.1 Signal to Noise (S/N) Ratio:

There are three forms of signal to noise ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ratio that are of common interest for optimization of static problems.

### 4.2.2 Smaller-the-better:

This is expressed as;
$n=-10 \log _{10}$ [average of sum of squares of actual measured data]

For difference in measured and ideal use.
$n=-10 \log _{10}$ [average of sum of squares of $\{$ actual measured - ideal\}]

### 4.2.3 Larger-the-better:

This is expressed as
$\mathbf{N}=-10 \log 10[$ mean of sum of squares of reciprocal of measured Data]

This is often converted to smaller-the-better by taking the reciprocal of the measured data and next, taking the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio as in the smaller-the-better case.

### 4.2.4 Nominal-the-best:

This is expressed as

$$
\mathrm{n}=-10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{\text { squareofmean }}{\text { variance }}\right]
$$

This case arises when a specified value is the most desired, meaning that neither a smaller nor a larger value is desired.

### 4.3 Experimentation Performed:

### 4.3.1 PMAEDG of Die Steel:

Experiments are carried out in an in house fabricated Powder Mixed Abrasive Electric Discharge Grinding Machine of AISI D3 die steel. Total of 9 experiments are performed by design of experiments through Taguchi Methodology. In table 5, 3 machining parameters and their levels are selected and then experiments are performed.

Table 2: Machining Parameters and their Levels for AISI D3 Die Steel.

| Input <br> Parameters | Level <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Level <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Level <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Powder <br> Concentration <br> (PC) | 0 | 3 | 6 | gm/ltr |
| Wheel Speed | 1000 | 1200 | 1400 | Rpm |


| (WS) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current (C) | 2 | 4 | 6 | Amp |

Table 6 shows the performed Experiments and with the Taguchi L9design of Experiments

Table 3: Taguchi L9 Experimental Table with Response Variable for AISI D3 Die Steel.

| Expt. <br> No. | PC <br> $(\mathbf{g m} / \mathbf{l i t})$ | WS <br> $(\mathbf{r p m})$ | C <br> $(\mathbf{a m p})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1000 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 1200 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 1400 | 6 |
| 4 | 3 | 1000 | 4 |
| 5 | 3 | 1200 | 6 |
| 6 | 3 | 1400 | 2 |
| 7 | 6 | 1200 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| 9 | 6 | 1400 | 4 |
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Table 4: Machining Parameters and their levels for Inconel 718.

| Input <br> Parameters | Level <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Level <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Level <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Powder <br> Concentration <br> (PC) | 0 | 3 | 6 | $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{lit}$ |
| Wheel Speed <br> (WS) | 1000 | 1200 | 1400 | Rpm |
| Current (C) | 2 | 4 | 6 | Amp |

Table 8 shows the Experiments and Response Variable of Inconel 718 with different parameters settings.

Table 5.Taguchi L9 Experimental Table with Response Variable for Inconel 718.

| Expt. <br> No. | PC <br> $(\mathbf{g m} / \mathbf{l i t})$ | WS <br> $(\mathbf{r p m})$ | C <br> (amp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1000 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 1200 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 1400 | 6 |
| 4 | 3 | 1000 | 4 |
| 5 | 3 | 1200 | 6 |
| 6 | 3 | 1400 | 2 |
| 7 | 6 | 14000 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| 9 | 6 |  | 4 |

### 4.3.2 PMAEDCG of Nimonic 80A:

Experiments are carried out in an In house fabricated Powder Mixed Abrasive Electric Discharge Grinding Set up. Total of 25 experiments are performed by design of experiments through Taguchi Methodology. In table 9, 4 machining parameters and their 5 levels are selected and then experiments are performed.

Table 6: Experimental input parameter and their levels for Nimonic 80.

| Input factor's | Symb <br> ol |  |  | Level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Descripti <br> on | Un <br> it |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Wheel <br> speed | Rp <br> m | A | 60 <br> 0 | 80 <br> 0 | 100 <br> 0 | 120 <br> 0 | 140 <br> 0 |
| Concentra <br> tion | g/li <br> t | B | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Current | A | C | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Pulse-on- <br> time | $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | D | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 29 |

### 4.3.3 PM-EDDCG of Nimonic 80A using L25 array @ 5 min m/c time, Duty Factor 0.67.

In this experiment Powder Mixed Electric Discharge Diamond Cut off Grinding of Nimonic 80 is done using Taguchi L 25 algorithm array. In this experiments 4 machining parameters and 5 levels selected and 25 experiments are performed with Powder concentration, wheel speed, current and

Pulse on Time is selected with constant time of 5 min is selected and duty factor for every experiments is 0.7.

Table 7: Experimental observations during PMEDDG of Nimonic 80A.

| Expt. No. | Factor levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Expt. <br> No. | Factor levels |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 9 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 12 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 13 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 15 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 16 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |


| 17 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 19 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| 20 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 21 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| 22 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 23 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 24 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 25 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |

## 5. Results and Discussion:

In this project work as three different materials are selected for their parametric study. Results of each material are described individually so as to clearly understand the factors which are responsible in machining in PMAEDCG process.

### 5.1 Analysis of Signal to Noise(S/N) Ratio for AISI D3 Die Steel.

ANOVA Analysis is used to determine the optimum parameters of the machining of AISI D3 die steels in PMAEDG process. 24.781 S/N ratio is the best suited condition for MRR in L9 orthogonal array in Table 10. Powder concentration of $6 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{lit}$, the wheel speed of 1400 rpm , and the current of 4 amps were obtained for the best MRR value. Fig. 12 shows the main effect plot for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratios. From this figure, it can be seen that MRR increases with increase in powder concentration and wheel speed. Table 10 shows the average $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio value. The different values of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio between maximum and minimum are (main effect) also presented in Table 10. The

Table 3 also shows that powder concentration and wheel speed are most significant machining parameters while current having less significance on MRR. It can be seen that the level 3 of powder concentration and wheel speed while level 2 of current gives the optimum machining condition.

Following results of MRR and S/N ratios for AISI D3 Die steel are obtained from the experiments performed in Table 6 experiments results shows increase in material removal rate with the increase in wheel speed.

| S.No | MRR <br> $\left(\mathbf{m m}^{3} / \mathbf{m i n}\right)$ | S/N ration for <br> MRR <br> (db) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 5.545 | 14.8773 |
| 2. | 5.897 | 15.4127 |
| 3. | 9.999 | 19.9987 |
| 4. | 10.631 | 15.3569 |
| 5. | 11.202 | 17.9089 |
| 6. | 12.975 | 22.5313 |
| 7. | 24.341 | 24.7813 |
| 8. |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |

Main effects of SN ratios for AISI D3 Die Steel are shown in figure 12 and the parameters as powder concentration; wheel speed and current are studied and show that in PMAEDG process Powder helps in material removal rate up to some extent and after that it reduces the MRR.


Figure 4:Main Effect Plot for S/N Ratios.
Table 11 is SN ratio table for factor levels. In this table the importance of powder concentration, wheel speed and current is described with their performance rank in machining of AISI D3 die steel.

Table 8: S/N ratio of MRR for factor levels.

| Level | PC | WS | $\mathbf{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 7.147 | 7.535 | 9.717 |
| 2 | 8.117 | 8.911 | 9.699 |
| 3 | 13.839 | 12.657 | 9.687 |
| Delta | 6.693 | 5.121 | 0.03 |
| Rank | 1 | 2 | 3 |

### 5.1.1 ANOVA analysis (AISI D3 Die Steel).

Powder concentration, wheel speed and current on material removal rate (MRR) was analysed by ANOVA. The ANOVA analysis shows that powder concentration is most significant factor affecting MRR followed by wheel speed. While current have very less effect of MRR.

Table 9: ANOVA for MRR AISI D3 Die Steel.

| So <br> ur <br> ce | De <br> gre <br> e <br> of <br> fre <br> ed <br> om <br> (D <br> F) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Su } \\ & \mathbf{m} \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { sq } \\ & \text { ua } \\ & \text { res } \\ & \text { (S } \\ & \text { S) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{M} \\ \text { ea } \\ \text { n } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { sq } \\ \text { ua } \\ \text { res } \\ (\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{S}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{F} \\ & \mathbf{R} \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{V} \\ & \text { al } \\ & \mathbf{u} \\ & \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$ | P <br> C <br> R <br> ( <br> \% <br> ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 . \\ & 84 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 . \\ & 42 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6 \\ 1 \\ . \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{W} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34 . \\ 69 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17 . \\ 34 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 . \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 . \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| C | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 . \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Er} \\ & \text { ro } \\ & \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{T} \\ & \text { ot } \\ & \mathrm{al} \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 . \\ & 54 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.1.2 Regression Equation for Machining Rate for AISI D3 Die Steel:

In terms of actual factors, the final empirical relationship between machining rate (response characteristic) and input process parameters of AISI D3 Die Steel can be expressed by the following second-order polynomial in Equation 1.

## MRR=-8.98017+1.11539PC+0.0128042WS0.0075 C . Equatio <br> n 1

The coefficients of the process parameters in Eq. (1) have been computed by Mini Tab 14 software after analysis of the data shown in Table 6.

### 5.2 Result and Discussion of PMAEDG of Inconel 718:

S/N ratios of MRR are presented in Table 12 obtained from Taguchi method which is best for see the variations in the experimental design. The S/N ratio should have a maximum value to obtain optimum machining conditions.
24.371 S/N ratio is the optimum condition for MRR Table 12. Powder concentration of $6 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{lit}$, the wheel speed of 1400 rpm , and the current of 4 amps were obtained for the best MRR value. Fig. 13 shows the main effect plot for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratios. From this figure, it can be seen that MRR increases with increase in powder concentration and wheel speed. Table 12 shows the average values of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio for every factor. The different values of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio between maximum and minimum are (main effect) also presented in Table 12. Table 12 also shows that powder concentration and wheel speed are most significant machining parameters while current having less significance on MRR. It can be seen that the level 3 of each factor viz. powder concentration,
wheel speed and current gives the optimum machining condition.

From Table 8 the results of MRR and SN ratio of MRR of Inconel 718 are obtained.

| S.No | MRR <br> $\left(\mathbf{m m}^{3} / \mathbf{m i n}\right)$ | S/N ration for <br> MRR <br> (db) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 4.745 | 13.524 |
| 2. | 5.097 | 14.146 |
| 3. | 9.199 | 19.274 |
| 4. | 9.060 | 14.082 |
| 5. | 10.402 | 20.342 |
| 6. | 16.541 | 21.750 |
| 7. | 24.371 |  |
| 8. | 9. |  |
| 9. |  |  |

Main effects of SN ratios of Inconel 718 are shown in Figure 12 and the parameters as powder concentration, wheel speed and current has been studied.


Figure 5: Main Effects Plot for SN ratios.

SN ratio of MRR for Factor levels is shown in table 13 and the contribution of process parameters are shown.

Table 10: S/N Ratio of MRR for Factor levels.

| Level | PC | WS | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 15.6 <br> 5 | 15.9 <br> 8 | 18. <br> 36 |
| 2 | 16.9 <br> 7 | 17.6 <br> 1 | 17. <br> 53 |
| 3 | 22.1 <br> 4 | 21.1 <br> 7 | 18. <br> 86 |
| Delta | 6.49 | 5.18 | 1.3 <br> 3 |
| Rank | 1 | 2 | 3 |

In Table 14 ANOVA analysis is done to see the effects of powder concentration, wheel speed and current on material removal rate (MRR). In the analysis, the percentage distributions of each control factor were used to measure the corresponding effects on the quality characteristics.

Table 11: ANOVA analysi

| So <br> ur ce | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ( } \\ & \mathbf{D} \\ & \text { F } \\ & \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Su <br> m <br> of <br> sq <br> ua <br> res <br> (SS <br> ) | Me <br> an of sq ua res (M S) | F <br> Ra <br> tio | P V al u e | $\mathbf{P}$ $\mathbf{C}$ $\mathbf{R}$ ( \%/ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| PC | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 70 \\ 64 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 35 \\ 32 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 75 \\ 1.8 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 . \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 6 1 . 1 1 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 42 . \\ 15 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 21 . \\ 07 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 44 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 <br> 6 <br> . <br> 4 <br> 6 <br>  |
| C | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 28 . \\ 86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 $\cdot$ 3 4 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Err } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 0.0 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0 . 0 8 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { To } \\ & \text { tal } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11 \\ 5.6 \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - |  |

### 5.2.1 ANOVA Analysis of Inconel 718:

ANOVA analysis of Inconel 718 is carried out with $95 \%$ of confidence level. ANOVA values belonging to experimental results for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratios for MRR are shown in Tables 13. ANOVA analysis shows that $61.110 \%$ is the contribution of Concentration of Powder in MRR followed by $36.463 \%$ of the Wheel speed contribution in MRR and a mere of $2.346 \%$ of current. The ANOVA
analysis shows that powder concentration is most significant factor affecting MRR followed by wheel speed. While current have very less effect of MRR.The less value of F shows that critical region is less and the experiments are best fitted in the MRR model.

### 5.2.2 Regression Equation for Inconel 718:

In terms of actual factors, the final empirical relationship between machining rate (response characteristic) and input process parameters of Inconel 718 can be expressed by the following second-order polynomial in Equation 2.
$M R R=-9.77945+1.11546 \mathrm{PC}+0.0128033 W S-$ 0.00748167C Equation 2

The coefficients of the process parameters in Eq. (2) have been computed by Mini Tab 14 software after analysis of the data shown in Table 8.

### 5.3 Result and Discussion of PMAEDG of Nimonic 80 A:

### 5.3.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The machining control variables having significant effect on MRR and their percentage contribution were determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The signal-to-noise ratio used to indicate better performance. A higher MRR signifies better performance of process, hence, higher is better (HB) is selected for determining best possible combination of machining characteristics. For HB the loss function (L) which denotes the deviation between the desired and actual value for measured results $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ of n iterative trials is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{H B} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{y_{i}^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio $\eta_{i j}$ for $i^{t h}$ response characteristic in $j^{t / h}$ experiments can be given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{i j} \\
& =-10 \log \left(L_{i j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The higher value of $\eta$ better is the performance of the process. By using above equations the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio values for each run of experiments mentioned in Table 15 was determined and tabulated in Table 16. The optimum machining parameters determined are wheel speed of 1400 rpm (Level 5), powder concentration of $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lit}$ (Level 3), current of 10 amps (Level 4), and pulse-on-time of $26 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (Level 4).

From Table 10 the MRR have been obtained from the experiments performed for Nimonic 80.

Figure 14 shows the effect of control factors on MRR. It can be seen from figure 14 that, MRR increases with increase in wheel speed. Thus it can be seen that at large wheel speed more number of diamond abrasive particles will cause faster abrasion of work-piece. The MRR initially increases with increase in powder concentration up to $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lit}$ and then decreases with further increase in powder concentration. The addition of powder in dielectric will assists to bridge the inter electrode gap resulting in increase in MRR. Due to the bridging effect, multiple discharges within single pulse will be generated resulting increase in discharge frequency. Thus, rapid sparks will erode work-piece at faster rate. But, after an optimum powder concentration the MRR is decreases as high concentration of powder will attribute to discharge interference. It is also seen that with increase in discharge current the MRR increases initially up-to 10 amps of current. More volume of work-piece will be melted and softened at higher discharge energies due to high currents. However, after more than 10 amps current, then molten metal resolidifies on the work-piece surface
lowering the MRR. It is seen that pulse-on-time does not have significant effect on MRR in AMEDDG.


Figure 6. Main Effects plot for SN ratios.
The relative significance of control factors on MRR was examined using ANOVA. The results of ANOVA at $95 \%$ confidence level for performance factors are tabulated in Table 16. From the results of ANOVA, the wheel speed ( S ) was seen to be the major factor influencing the MRR and contribute $52.23 \%$ on MRR, followed by current (C) which contributes $27.17 \%$ on MRR, followed by powder concentration which contributes $14.45 \%$ on MRR; whereas pulse-on-time (D) have less significance on the MRR of Nimonic 80A during AMEDDG process. The large value of determination coefficient $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=97.42 \%\right)$ indicates that only less than $2.58 \%$ of the total variations in MRR are not clarified by model. The large value of the adjusted determination coefficient (adjusted $R^{2}=92.26 \%$ ) assures significance of the model.

Table 16 shows relative significance of control factors on MRR was examined using ANOVA. The results of ANOVA at $95 \%$ confidence level for performance factors are tabulated in this table.

From Table 10 the MRR have been obtained from the experiments performed for Nimonic 80.

IRJIF IMPACT FACTOR: 3.821

| S.No | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{MRR}\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} /\right. \\ & \min ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.518926 |
| 2 | 10.18315 |
| 3 | 11.13187 |
| 4 | 13.33333 |
| 5 | 10.96459 |
| 6 | 7.106227 |
| 7 | 9.52381 |
| 8 | 15.21368 |
| 9 | 11.13553 |
| 10 | 7.838828 |
| 11 | 9.59707 |
| 12 | 11.47741 |
| 13 | 12.02564 |
| 14 | 8.131868 |
| 15 | 11.81929 |
| 16 | 12.74725 |
| 17 | 12.28327 |
| 18 | 12.40537 |
| 19 | 12.11233 |
| 20 | 12.08791 |
| 21 | 16.11477 |
| 22 | 12.83517 |


| 23 | 17.01832 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 15.26007 |
| 25 | 16.89621 |

Table 12.ANOVA results for MRR model of Nimonic 80.

### 5.3.2 Regression Equation for MRR of Nimonic 80A:

In terms of actual factors, the final empirical relationship between machining rate (response characteristic) and input process parameters of Nimonic 80A can be expressed by the following second-order polynomial in Equation 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MRR }=-\mathbf{0 . 8 1 6 7 9 3}+\mathbf{0 . 0 0 6 4 8 0 3 4} \mathrm{W}+\mathbf{0 . 2 0 7 1 5 5} \\
& \text { PC }+0.430159 \quad \mathrm{C}+\underset{0.0807407}{ } \\
& \text { POT......Equation } 3
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of the process parameters in Eq. (3) have been computed by Mini Tab 14 software after analysis of the data shown in Table.

| So ur ce | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} ( \\ d \\ \text { f) } \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{( S S} \\ & )^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{S}) \end{aligned}$ | F <br> val ue | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{p} \\ > \\ \mathbf{F} \end{array}$ | $\%$ <br> co <br> nt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 7.3 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 84 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 . \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 . \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 . \\ & 23 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 . \\ & 71 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 07 . \\ 42 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 . \\ & 45 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 . \\ & 85 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 . \\ & 96 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 . \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 . \\ & 17 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| D | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 . \\ & 29 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 01 . \\ 82 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02 . \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 . \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 03 . \\ & 54 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Re} \\ \text { sid } \\ \text { ual } \\ \mathrm{Err} \\ \text { or } \end{array}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 05 . \\ & 30 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 66 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { To } \\ \text { tal } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \\ & 5.5 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Standard deviation 0.8144 |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=97.42 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}=92.26 \%$ |  |  |  |

### 5.4 Surface Topography and Results:

Surface topography is precise detailed study of the surface features of a region. In the below figures Scanning Electron Microscopy images are shown and detailed study of the machining is described in order to understand that whether after machining any secondary finishing operation is required after machining in PMAEDG .in PMAEDG process as we know grinding wheel is used in removal of the material .hence it is obvious to get a surface finish of grinding level.

Figure 7. SEM image of NIMONIC 80A


Figure 8 SEM image of NIMONIC 80A
Wheel speed 1000 rpm
PC 2 g/lit,
Current 10 Amp
Pulse on Time $17 \mu \mathrm{~s}$

- Figure 15 shows Wheel marks are more due to low rpm. Crater Wear is small due to low current. Presence of White Recast layer
- Figure 16 Wheel marks on the PMAEDG surface are less in comparison to low wheel rpm PMAEDG surface. Crater Wear is high
and bigger than Fig 15 due to high current. Recast layer is reduced with improved surface finish.
- Higher the wheel rpm lower the surface roughness in the Figure 17 the rpm is 600 hence the roughness is more
- Pulse Time also contributes in the surface roughness but in very less amount.
- Current is the second prime factor in MRR but in Surface Roughness it shows that increasing the Current leads to higher MRR due to Large Crater formation which increases the Roughness of the Surface.
- In the figure 18 rpm is 1000 rpm which shows less stray marks and improved surface finish with respect to 600 rpm surface.

Pulse on time is same in both the case shows the minimal effect on the surfaces.

### 5.5 Surface Roughness Results and Analysis:

In the Experiments performed in PMAEDG process, the main parameters which is taken in consideration is MRR (material removal rate) and Ra i.e. Surface roughness and we had calculated the MRR .Here in the Table 17 Experiments are designed with Taguchi and L25 is used with the same parameters as used in the experimentation of MRR.

Table 13: Surface Roughness values After Experimentation of Nimonic 80.

| A(Wheel <br> Speed) | $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{P C})$ | C(Current) | $\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\text {on }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 600 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| 600 | 2 | 6 | 20 |


| 600 | 4 | 8 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 | 6 | 10 | 26 |
| 600 | 8 | 12 | 29 |
| 800 | 0 | 6 | 23 |
| 800 | 2 | 8 | 26 |
| 800 | 4 | 10 | 29 |
| 800 | 6 | 12 | 17 |
| 800 | 8 | 4 | 20 |
| 1000 | 0 | 8 | 29 |
| 1000 | 2 | 10 | 17 |
| 1000 | 4 | 12 | 20 |
| 1000 | 6 | 4 | 23 |
| 1000 | 8 | 6 | 26 |
| 1200 | 0 | 10 | 20 |
| 1200 | 2 | 12 | 23 |
| 1200 | 4 | 4 | 26 |
| 1200 | 6 | 6 | 29 |
| 1200 | 8 | 8 | 17 |
| 1400 | 0 | 12 | 26 |
| 1400 | 2 | 4 | 29 |
| 1400 | 4 | 6 | 17 |
| 1400 | 6 | 8 | 20 |

### 5.5.1 Analysis of Signal to Noise(S/N) Ratio of Nimonic 80.

S/N ratios of Ra are presented in Table 17. The S/N ratio should have a maximum value to obtain optimum machining conditions. From Table 17 following are the results of surface roughness obtained when measured from High Precision Taylor Hobson Surface Roughness measuring machine.

| S.No | SR( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3.78 |
| 2. | 4.30 |
| 3. | 4.45 |
| 4. | 4.84 |
| 5. | 5.19 |
| 6. | 5.78 |
| 7. | 4.91 |
| 8. | 5.29 |
| 9. | 4.53 |
| 10. | 4.51 |
| 11. | 4.49 |
| 12. | 3.11 |
| 13. | 3.63 |
| 14. | 3.78 |
| 15. | 3.95 |
| 16. | 3.01 |
| 17. | 3.06 |


| 18. | 3.71 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 19. | 3.92 |
| 20. | 3.12 |
| 21. | 3.64 |
| 22. | 3.58 |
| 23. | 2.82 |
| 24 | 2.70 |
| 25. |  |

Table 18 is the Response table for means for the analysis of Surface roughness values in MINI TAB 14 in order to get the results of means of roughness of the surface after machining.

Table 14. Response Table For Means.

| Level | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.512 | 4.140 | 3.876 | 3.824 |
| 2 | 5.004 | 3.796 | 4.306 | 3.654 |
| 3 | 3.792 | 4.132 | 4.158 | 3.954 |
| 4 | 3.564 | 3.978 | 3.790 | 4.210 |
| 5 | 3.268 | 4.094 | 4.010 | 4.498 |
| Delta | 1.736 | 0.344 | 0.516 | 0.844 |
| Rank | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

Figure 19 shows the Main effect plot of Means obtained from the measurement of Surface roughness means from table 18 through analysis from MINI TAB 14.


Figure 9. Main Effect Plot for Means.
Figure 20 shows the Mean effect plot for SN ratios i.e. Signal to Noise ratios of Nimonic 80 surface roughness values .in the analysis smaller is better is taken because we want that surface roughness minimum values is best for the work piece.


Figure 10. Main Effect Plot for SN Ratios.
Table 19 shows the ANOVA analysis of Surface roughness model of Nimonic 80 .analysis is done in MINI TAB 14. In the analysis the importance and contribution of the process parameters are shown and also the percentage contribution of each and every parameters is shown in the surface roughness after machining.

Table 15 ANOVA Results for Ra Model of Nimonic 80.

| Varia tion sourc e | Degree <br> of <br> freedo <br> m(df) | Sum of squares (SS) | Mean square( MS) | F <br> val ue | P | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PC } \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & (\% \\ & ) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.177 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5443 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 . \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | 4 | 0.4202 | 0.1051 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.4 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | 4 | 0.8713 | 0.2178 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| D | 4 | 2.2050 | 0.5512 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.1 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 . \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Resid <br> ual <br> Error | 8 | 1.7080 | 0.2135 |  |  |  |
| Total | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.381 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Standard } \\ & 0.462066 \end{aligned}$ |  | deviation | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=88.90 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Adjuste | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ | 6.69 |  |

### 5.5.2 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA):

ANOVA analysis is used to determine the effects of various process parameters and their percentage contribution. The coefficient of determination shows that the value of factors taken in experimentations is under our expected range except $12.10 \%$ of factors. The experiments are carried out by $90 \%$ confidence level. The Adjusted coefficient of determination shows that surface roughness is a factor which is not
only depends on wheel speed and concentration of powder but also other factors like flushing rate and dielectric flow. The ANOVA analysis shows that Wheel Speed is most significant factor affecting Ra followed by Abrasive concentration in Dielectric, while frequency of current and Discharge have very less effect of Ra. In the ANOVA analysis it is clarly shows that powde concentration, pulse on time are most influencing parameters on surface roughness with $66.16 \%$ and $14 \%$ respectively.

### 5.5.3 Regression Equation of Surface Roughness $\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of Nimonic 80.

In terms of actual factors, the final empirical relationship between Surface Roughness (response characteristic) and input process parameters of Nimonic 80 can be expressed by the following second-order polynomial in Equation 4.
$R_{\mathrm{a}}=4.61-0.00196 \mathrm{~W}+0.0045 \mathrm{PC}-0.0124 \mathrm{C}+$
0.0635 POT.

Equation
4
The coefficients of the process parameters in Eq. (4) have been computed by Mini Tab 14 software after analysis of the data shown in Table 17.

## 6. Conclusion:

### 6.1 Conclusion for PMAEDCG of AISI D3 Die Steel.

Powder mixed electro discharge diamond grinding of AISI D3 die steel is reported in this study. TaguchiL9 orthogonal design is used for study the effects of Abrasive powder mixed in dielectric fluid in electric Discharge Diamond Grinding process. Three machining input parameters were selected to analyze process performance and it has found that material removal rate increases with increase in powder concentration and wheel speed. Out of The relative
significance of control factors on MRR was examined using ANOVA. The results of ANOVA at $95 \%$ confidence level for performance factors are tabulated in selected parameter levels, $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lit}$ of power concentration, 1400 rpm wheel speed and 4 amps current results in optimum material removal rate according to Taguchi analysis.

### 6.2 Conclusion of PMAEDG of Inconel 718.

Powder mixed electro discharge diamond grinding of Inconel-718 is reported in this study. Taguchi-L9 orthogonal design is used for study the effects of Abrasive powder mixed in dielectric fluid in electric Discharge Diamond Grinding process. Three machining input parameters were selected to analyze process performance and it has found that powder concentration and wheel speed significantly improves the material removal rate. Out of selected parameter levels, $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lit}$ of power concentration, 1400 rpm wheel speed and 6 amps current results in optimum material removal rate.

### 6.3 Conclusion of PMAEDG of Nimonic 80A.

This work proposes a new hybrid machining process called as AMEDDG. The performance of proposed AMEDDG process has been experimentally investigated for machining of Nimonic 80A. The effects of various input machining parameters viz. wheel speed; powder concentration, current, and pulse-on-time on MRR have been investigated and following conclusions have been withdrawn:

### 6.3.1 Material Removal Rate. (MRR)

MRR increases with increase in wheel speed as at higher wheel speeds more number of particles abrades more volume of work-piece.MRR initially increases with increase of powder concentration up to $4 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{lit}$ due to addition of powder in dielectric assists bridging inter electrode gap. Afterwards,
further addition of power in dielectric attributes to discharge interference decreasing MRR. At increased current increased discharge energy removed more work-piece material due to melting. However, after 10 amps the MRR decreases due to molten material resolidifies on work-piece. The wheel speed, current and powder concentration were found to be significant parameters in PMAEDG contributing $52.239 \%, 14.456 \%$ and $14.456 \%$ respectively on MRR. The optimum parameters determined in PMAEDG on Nimonic 80A are wheel speed of 1400 rpm , powder concentration of $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lit}$ current of 10 amps , and pulse-on-time of $26 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ in machining of Nimonic 80 in PMAEDG process.

### 6.3.2 Surface Roughness. ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )

In the Experiments performed on Die Steels, Inconel 718 and Nimonic 80 in PMAEDCG process, SiC powder was used in order to see whether the changes happen in Surface roughness and MRR. The results shows that MRR increased and Surface roughness decreased .The results of surface roughness on PMAEDCG of Nimonic 80 had been studied and observed that with the increase in powder concentration up to 4 to $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ltr}$ with a constant decrease in Surface roughness when increasing the rpm of the grinding wheel. It is obvious that in grinding process Surface finish is improved but in this process it helps in increasing the MRR also and observed that at 1400 rpm highest surface finish is obtained. It has also been seen that current is the primary factor for high surface roughness as current is increased the crater wear is more which increases the roughness of the surface.
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